## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

> Submitted: February 8, 2019 Decided: March 15, 2019

Before **STRINE**, Chief Justice; **VAUGHN** and **SEITZ**, Justices.

## ORDER

After careful consideration of the parties' briefs and the record on appeal, we conclude that the judgment below should be affirmed on the basis of the Family Court's order dated March 26, 2018 resolving matters ancillary to the parties' divorce. The appellant's arguments regarding due process, the assignment of a judge to the case following the retirement of the former judge, and the reopening of the ancillary matters were not fairly raised before the Family Court and are therefore

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court previously assigned pseudonyms to the parties pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 7(d).

waived, absent plain error, which we conclude does not exist.<sup>2</sup> The appellant's

arguments concerning vehicle consignment and insurance for the beach property

raise the issue of whether the parties have complied with the Family Court's orders,

rather than whether the Family Court erred in entering those orders, and similarly

must be presented to the Family Court in the first instance. We conclude that the

appellant's other arguments provide no basis for reversing the Family Court's well-

reasoned order.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Family

Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ James T. Vaughn, Jr.

Justice

<sup>2</sup> SUPR. CT. R 8.

2